

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/817,184	04/02/2004	David L. Linam	AG10031083-1	2153	
22878	7590 01/20/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.			LAM, TUAN THIEU		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION, LEGAL DEPT. P.O. BOX 7599		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
M/S DL429 LOVELAND, CO 80537-0599			2816		
			DATE MAILED: 01/20/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			\$			
, ,	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<i></i>			
Advisory Action	10/817,184	LINAM ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tuan T. Lam	2816				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addr	ess			
THE REPLY FILED 16 January 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu g date of the final rejection.	idavit, or other evidencompliance with 37 CF ust be filed within one	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following			
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	on.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Offic te of the final rejection, e	ate extension fee the action; or (2) as ven if timely filed,			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external and the Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed <u>AMENDMENTS</u> 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to within the time period set forth in 3	o avoid dismissal of the B7 CFR 41.37(a).	e appeal. Since			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO	will <u>not</u> be entered be TE below);	cause			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	` ''	mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendmen	nt canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ wil vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an ex	xplanation of			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 9-16.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	it before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>not</u> rit or other evidence is	be entered necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessarily. 10. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered by the filed of the filed	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fail: ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n or the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ea.			

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Tuan T. Lam Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2816

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

13. Other: ____.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the newly added limitations "an unclocked" of claim 9, "regardless of states of the master clock signal and the slave clock signal" of claim 15 would require further search and/or further consideration.